

Sug. I know by his behaviors and his actions.

Hatch. Do you work always at the same place with Mr. Hoshizaki?

Sug. I was working there like "C" class worker with the confidence and trust of Mr. Saijo, watched and doing the things being order by him. Sometimes it happens that women need help, so in such a case I went there and helped to do what I can as if I was delegated with Mr. Saijo's authority.

Hatch. I didn't ask you whether you were working with "C" class. What I want to ask was "did you work with Mr. Hoshizaki." That's the point.

Sug. In the morning or afternoon whenever it was I went there and helped him in the chicken house but only $3\frac{1}{2}$ days he worked, so actually $1\frac{1}{2}$ days I was with him and worked with him in the week.

Saito In your testimony last time you said that you did not work with Mr. Hoshizaki. You said you met him twice. How is it that today's testimony is different from last time?

Sug. When I said twice it meant the chicken came on February 25 then those who were to take care of them were Mr. Hoshizaki and Mrs. Uriu. When those chickens came that time I met him twice. That's what I meant by twice. But coming every morning and then going home on the truck we met always in the truck. Especially I was entrusted by Mr. Saijo. I have been doing everything as foreman, so I knew more. When that baby chicks came, twice I saw, but prior to that time he was given job to give water so I met him several times.

Saito In the question last time we put before you whether you were working with him and how often you met him, but the answer is so different today so we are wondering. Then, you said that time you didn't work with him and you met twice. Today you are saying like that, were you sleeping?

- Sug. At that time he was working only 2½ days at other place so that's why I told since I was to be short cut and say yes or no, so I didn't go to far to make a full explanation.
- Saito Last time, your testimony was long, It took one hour. You can't say that was short.
- Ing. You were aware or you know that Mr. Hoshizaki in one of the letters in which he protested his termination made considerable point of the fact that he took very good care of the baby chicks?
- Sug. He was to feed the chickens with mixed food and not giving orders to Mrs. Uriu who is above him, neither orders to others, it was the responsibility of Mrs. Saijo. Those things, "do this and do not do this." It was how all of us felt.
- Hatch. Again, you are out of line. You did not give an answer to what Mr. Ingraham asked. Mr. Ingraham wanted to know whether or not you know about that statement which was brought about by Mr. Hoshizaki protesting to his termination. Whether there was any point in which Mr. Hoshizaki was taking care of chickens. That's what he wanted to know.
- Sug. My job was going there and taking off that stove because baby chickens need regular temperature of 100° or so. He was opposing to that or refusing my services there. I don't know what his point was but that was his attitude there.
- Hatch. The witness as you can see is not answering the questions.
- Ing. The witness try to tell a story and if you have patience, you will get it.
- Hatch. You agreed to it to make it as short as possible and as close to the point as possible.
- Ing. When Mr. Hoshizaki was working on the brooder house did he fail to report to work as he had been asked to specifically appear there?
- Sug. My job of course is to go around, to take care of those brooders because

young chicks need a temperature of about 100°. I go around in the morning and go around every two hours. I go to his place and he refused and said not touch, and on my part I feel responsible to take care of my job despite his negative attitude, I have been trying to do all I can. That was his attitude to prove lack of cooperation.

Ing. Mr. Hoshizaki was off all day Friday when those chicks were two or three days old.

Sug. Friday, yes, he was off. That very Saturday he had something to do so he told that he won't be there that day thru Mrs. Okasaki. He didn't even come Saturday. Mrs. Saijo has authority to make working hours of each worker each day and such hours are assigned to such and such a person, so ask Mrs. Saijo.

Hatch. We want to be fair, we are doing this. Jus because one witness fumbled that's no reason why we can't bring more witnesses.

Ing. But if you are worrying about the time we can the decision to Mr. Robertson.

I don't think anyone of you are busier than Mr. Hartman or I.
Hearing is adjourned.

4:55 P. M.

101