

SUMMARY DATA OF RESPONSES RECEIVED FROM "APPEAL FOR ACTION"
MAILOUT OF DECEMBER 7, 1975
(DATA RECEIVED THRU APRIL 25, 1976)

TOTAL NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDING 39

ORGANIZATIONS PROVIDING NUMERICAL COUNT TO QUESTIONNAIRE 35

TOTAL NUMBER OF BOARD (AND REGULAR) MEMBERS ATTENDING MEETINGS 778

1. RESPONSE OF ATTENDING MEMBERS TO THE REPARATION APPEAL FOR ACTION
MESSAGE OF DECEMBER 7, 1975:

(A) IN FULL AGREEMENT 68.9%

(B) IN PARTIAL AGREEMENT 28.4%

(C) IN OPPOSITION 2.7%

2. METHOD OF REPARATION FUNDING:

(A) IN FAVOR OF FUNDING THROUGH DIRECT

(B) CONGRESSIONAL APPROPRIATIONS 30.5%

(B) IN FAVOR OF THE BOOTSTRAP

7. GEOGRAPHICAL METHOD OF FUNDING 69.5%

(A) EASTERN AREA 77%

3. DISBURSEMENT OF REPARATION FUNDS:

(A) IN FAVOR OF REPARATION PAYMENTS DIRECTLY

(A) TO EACH INDIVIDUAL CLAIMANT 89.0%

(B) IN FAVOR OF PAYMENT IN BLOCK SUMS DIRECTLY

TO VARIOUS JAPANESE AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS

AND OPPOSED TO PAYMENT TO INDIVIDUAL CLAIMANT 11.0%

4. ISSEI PRIORITY:

- (A) IN FAVOR OF GIVING PRIORITY TO THE ISSEI
IN ANY DISBURSEMENT OF REPARATION PAYMENTS 96.7%
- (B) THOSE OPPOSED TO GIVING THE ISSEI PRIORITY 3.3%

5. FEELINGS OF MEMBERS TO THE AMOUNTS OF REPARATION PAYMENTS
SUGGESTED IN THE MESSAGE: (\$5,000 TO EVERY PERSON AFFECTED
BY THE EVACUATION AND \$10 PER DAY FOR EVERY DAY OF IMPRISONMENT)

- (A) THOSE WHO FEEL THAT THE AMOUNTS ARE REASONABLE 70.6%
- (B) THOSE WHO FEEL THAT THE AMOUNTS ARE EXCESSIVE 0.9%
- (C) THOSE WHO FEEL THAT THE AMOUNTS ARE GROSSLY INADEQUATE 28.5%

6. THOSE WILLING TO GIVE SUPPORT TO PASSAGE OF LEGISLATION
TO PERMIT PAYMENT OF REPARATIONS WHICH WERE SUGGESTED
TO EACH PERSON AFFECTED BY THE 1942 EVACUATION ORDER:

- (A) YES 94.4%
- (B) NO 5.6%

7. GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS FROM WHICH RESPONSES WERE RECEIVED:

- (A) EASTERN AREA 7.7%
- (B) MIDWEST AREA 7.7%
- (C) INTERMOUNTAIN AREA 2.6%
- (D) WEST COAST AREA 82.0%

RESPONSES RECEIVED FROM CHAPTERS WITHOUT NUMERICAL DATA
FOR THE QUESTIONNAIRE:

- (1) QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE ARE "TOO PERSONAL".
BOARD MEMBERS THOUGHT THAT THIS WAS "AN INVASION
OF PRIVACY."
- (2) THE CHAPTER IS "TOO BUSY WITH REPUDIATING E.O. 9066
EFFORT TO HAVE ANY TIME FOR REPARATIONS."
- (3) CHAPTER BOARD HAS ALREADY VOTED ON REPARATIONS IN
A PREVIOUS MEETING AND THE BOARD FELT IT WAS
NOT IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO VOTE AGAIN ON THE SAME
ISSUE.
- (4) MAJORITY OF CHAPTER NOT INTERESTED IN REPARATIONS

(7) "DIDN'T HAVE A CASSETTE PLAYER." (ASKED WHY NO ONE READ
THE TRANSCRIPT, THE REPLY WAS, "NOBODY WANTED TO READ
IT, IT'S TOO LONG.")

FOLLOW-UP TELEPHONE CALLS TO CHAPTER PRESIDENTS WHO DID NOT PROVIDE RESPONSE.

- (1) "DID NOT RECEIVE THE MAILING." (ADDITIONAL COPY WAS SUBSEQUENTLY SENT IN FEBRUARY, NO RESPONSE YET.)
- (2) "THE 1975 CHAPTER PRESIDENT DID NOT FORWARD THE CASSETTE TAPE OR TRANSCRIPT TO ME." (FROM '76 PRESIDENT)
- (3) "THREW IT AWAY."
- (4) DID NOT FEEL CHAPTER BOARD MEETING WOULD BE INTERESTED IN THE SUBJECT, BESIDES THERE WASN'T ENOUGH TIME ANYWAY.
- (5) "NONE OF YOUR DAMNED BUSINESS." (HE HUNG UP THE PHONE)
- (6) "JUST A WASTE OF TIME."
- (7) "DIDN'T HAVE A CASSETTE PLAYER." (ASKED WHY NO ONE READ THE TRANSCRIPT, THE REPLY WAS, "NOBODY WANTED TO READ IT, IT'S TOO LONG.")

2. METHOD OF FUNDING:

- (A) IN FAVOR OF FUNDING THROUGH DIRECT CONGRESSIONAL APPROPRIATIONS 30.5%
- (B) IN FAVOR OF THE BOOTSTRAP METHOD OF FUNDING 69.5%

3. DISBURSEMENT OF REPARATION FUNDS:

- (A) IN FAVOR OF REPARATION PAYMENTS DIRECTLY TO EACH INDIVIDUAL CLAIMANT 87.5%
- (B) IN FAVOR OF PAYMENT IN BLOCK SUMS DIRECTLY TO VARIOUS JAPANESE AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS AND OFFERED TO PAYMENT TO INDIVIDUALS 12.5%

