

HOWARD
RICE
NEMEROVSKI
CANADY
FALK
& RABKIN

A Professional Corporation

March 18, 2003

Three Embarcadero Center
Seventh Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4024

Telephone 415.434.1600
Facsimile 415.217.5910
www.howardrice.com

Writer's Information:

Daniel M. Ventrelle
dventrelle@hrice.com

Kimiko Kitayama

[REDACTED]
Union City, CA 94587

Re: *City of Oakland, et al. v. Oakland Raiders, et al.*,
Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 97AS06708

Dear Ms. Kitayama:


Thank you for your March 13, 2003 letter, memorializing your agreement to appear and testify as a witness at trial when called by the Raiders in the matter referenced above. In light of your written assurance to appear when called, and your verbal promise to do the same in our conversation of March 13, 2003, your presence on March 24, 2003 will not be required.

As I mentioned, I appreciate your agreement to appear when called, and will contact you again with information regarding the timing of your appearance when it is available. I will make an effort to provide you with as much advance notice as possible to facilitate your planning. I also will do my best to schedule your appearance around the conflicts identified in your letter, if possible.

Per your request, I have also enclosed witness fees and mileage for one day's attendance, as provided by Code of Civil Procedure Section 1987 and Government Code Section 68093.

Please feel free to contact me at your convenience if you have any scheduling questions or concerns.

Sincerely,



Daniel M. Ventrelle

LAW OFFICES OF
HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI,
CANADY, FALK & RABKIN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
3 EMBARCADERO CENTER, 7TH FL.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4065

COMERICA BANK-CALIFORNIA

201 Spear St., Ste. 200
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
90-3752/1211

101298

03/18/03

PAY SEVENTY-EIGHT & 88/100

DOLLARS \$78.88


TO THE
ORDER OF

Kimiko Kitayama
[REDACTED]
Union City, CA 94587

GENERAL ACCOUNT

TWO SIGNATURES REQUIRED OVER \$5,000.00

[REDACTED]
Heather Lane
[REDACTED]

Security Features Included.  Details on back.

March 1, 2003 (Saturday)

received subpoena to appear in court 3/24/2003
as witness of Oakland Raiders vs. City of Oakland, Alameda County
Oakland - Alameda County Coliseum Authority.

3/3/03 Jim Boser our lead attorney

I called and was told that Jim wouldn't be back until Thursday.
Arturo Gonzalez attorney

Eva Perez

3/6/03 -

Arturo called back.

I told him I have no way of getting to Sacramento for this trial.

He said he'd get in touch w/ Roger Dreyer, the attorney who
handled the subpoena.

This case involves Ed de Silva & the Coliseum suit
by the Raiders.

Raiders might want me to appear at some time and will
let me know.

If I don't hear from anyone by the end of March,
call Arturo.

3/7 Jack Smith [redacted]. Jack hasn't received
subpoena yet - neither has George Vukman yet.
Said to let our lawyer handle this - sit tight.

3/12/03 Arturo Gonzalez [redacted]

said George Vukasin got his subpoena. He asked if I got a check for travel expense. I had not. So Arturo paid for me to call Roger Dreyer and ask for my witness fees and when will I be appearing.

3/13/03 Mary Warren [redacted]

hasn't received the subpoena yet.

She said emphatically that she & I were not invited to attend committee meeting when the Rautin & Al Davis were discussed

(Richard Vinney (attorney for City of Oakland))

Mary said lets sit tight and shed talk to Vinney. Jim Vohr got a subpoena. Dennis Lueders is supposed to come in from Kentucky.

3/13/03 Dan Ventrella [redacted]

3/13/03 Roger Dreyer office [redacted]

Not in but

Gina said shed leave message for him to return my call.

11:25 I call Roger Dreyer office again and Gina said hed call back

2:40 PM Dan Ventrella (Dreyer's assistant) SF office called.

He said Arturo not cooperation.

I asked when I need to appear in Sacto (3/24/03)

He said I should mail a letter to Dreyer ^{and will} attention Dan Ventrella and I should get a reply

as to my position. Also gave him dates when I
expected to be away. I said I would need transportation
and possibly accommodations at a hotel if I need to appear
on time at a set date.

I wrote the letter today and mailed it from the post office
at 6:00 PM.

I spoke to Jack Smith before calling Dreyer's office
this afternoon. He got the subpoena and said I should
be in Sacramento on 3/24/03. That I should
contact Dreyer's office again.

May Waver still not receive her subpoena.

3/17/03

3:50 PM I called Dan Ventrella [redacted] to ask if
he got my letter. He said okay and if I should be
called to appear I should be notified 76 hours
in advance. He will call me. He said the
statute only will allow for mileage to get to
Sacramento and I said it would be a hardship
to get to Sacto and I may have to stay overnight.

Fromal embark to Sacto 7:30 AM arrive Sacto at 9:55 AM

4/29/03 Eva Perez called back this AM. I returned call at 11:15 AM.

[REDACTED]
Told her about this check. She said to wait until she asks Arturo. I said its dated 3/18/03.

She said Arturo said things are going slow in court and that I probably wouldn't be needed though he would call if needed.

I told Eva that I had told Ventura that I'd be away May 26 to about June 12 by letter but now those plans have changed and I won't be available ^{now} until May 19-28. She said I wouldn't need to tell Ventura as long as Arturo knows. She will call me tomorrow.

4/30 Eva left message. Said to go ahead & cash the check of \$78⁰⁰

Subpoena

Change in Date I ~~would~~ ^{will} not
be available

May 19 - 28

instead of when I said in my letter

4/25/83

Dan Ventralle

Arturo Gonzalez

out Eva Perez

Bob Quintella
Snot see

3/17/03

3:50 call

Dan Ventullo (Roger & Dreyer assist)

to ask if he got my letter, He said
okay and if I should be called to
appear I should be notified 76 hrs in
advance. He will call me. He said the
statutes only will allow for mileage
and I said it's a hardship to get to
Sacto. and may even require staying
overnight. I checked the train
schedule from Fremont. A mtrak.
7:03 AM arrival Sacto at 9:55 AM

Subpoena

agree
I be withy to testify
~~to~~ when called such
witness if not required to
appear at March 24
when it is my turn to
testify

~~Don't be out of town~~

Dan Ventralle attorney

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

schedule

~~schedule~~ schedule

Gene Vohr

3/13/03

10:25 AM

Gene - Draper sec.
will have someone ^{involved in} ~~with~~ this hearing
to call me. This AM, I hope.

~~is my presence required?~~

Is the time & date

March 24 9:00 AM

and is my presence required
reference to any testimony.

I will need witness fees.

~~Richard Winney called attorney.~~

Don't do suit
Hemi Cases

11



Regan
Nursery
4268 Decoto Road
Fremont, CA 94555

~~28~~⁵⁰

9:35 - 10:35^{am}

Sept 10:45 - 12:55^{pm} Sat.

~~2890~~ per

Juli

www.Amtrak.com

7:03^{AM} - 9:55 AM
to Front
Sat

~~1445~~

~~Subject~~

3/24/03

Kimis


Law Offices Of

HOWARD
RICE
NEMEROVSKI
CANADY
FALK
& RABKIN

A Professional Corporation

THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER
SEVENTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4065

(DMV) 6171.14



Kimiko Kitayama

Union City, CA 94587

94587+4065

