

Times Readers Have Their Say

CHANGE OUR ATTITUDE

Editor, The Times:
WE are surprised to read that you still use the term "Jap." Now that the war is over, we should start at once to attempt winning the peace. There is no better way to do this than by changing our attitude toward our former enemies. No longer need we ridicule these people.

—Joyce M. Stanley,
—Werner R. Mattersdorff,
International Students' House
University of Washington

A PROUD FATHER

Editor, The Times:
I AGREE with Mr. Tom Gilson ("Bring back 18-year olds"; Times, August 24) Being the father of five in the armed services, three that were called as they slipped out of high school. I feel the government owes these boys a release from duty so they can continue their education if they so wish or get a chance to earn a few dollars while there are a few left to earn.

There are plenty of young men who have been deferred, supposed to be essential, that should be willing to go and relieve these boys; they surely can stand the hardships of the Army of Occupation now that the shooting has ended.

If the Army and Navy would keep what men they now have in the services that are willing to stay in and fill in with men who have enjoyed high wages and good times, it would look like our

government appreciated what our youth has sacrificed.

And I will add, my family all enlisted; they didn't hide behind a deferment for which I am proud.

—W. H. Fleming,
1417 4th Ave. W.

REAL ASSISTANCE

Editor, The Times:
I WOULD like to tell you of my appreciation of your very fair attitude expressed in the favorable publicity given Nisei soldiers such as appeared in The Times of August 21 and previous accounts. Through your willingness to understand our problems, I feel that you have been of real assistance to us Americans of Japanese ancestry.

—BETTY OHTANI,
4721 W. Ruffner St.

ENTITLED TO JUSTICE

Editor, The Times:
CONGRATULATIONS on the stand which you have taken in regard to those American-Japanese who have been loyal to this country. They are as much entitled to justice as are Americans of German and Italian ancestry-parentage.

M. L. HULBERT.
* * *

LEADERS OF TOMORROW

Editor, The Times:
LETTER in The Times, August 24, by Tom Gilson, regarding overseas occupation, is timely and well said. Definitely all teen age boys regardless of service, together with all men who have seen active duty, should be re-

placed at once by the thousands of commissioned officers and AF's who were deferred for slight physical defects, many of whom I am certain would welcome an opportunity in the service of army of occupation.

Men who have seen combat duty, indeed should and must not be subjected to further assignments, for God knows they have served their purpose well. BRING THEM HOME.

It is also highly important that all teen age boys be discharged, that they may prepare themselves to become our leaders of a better tomorrow.

In our pursuit for a real democracy, a lasting and permanent peace, to make our leadership strong, we can and will pave the way to perpetuate this by a true Christian American endeavor.

M. Perry Allen,
911 Alder St.

THOSE WHO STAYED HOME

Editor, The Times:
I, a mother of five sons, all in the service, wish to add my voice to that of Tom Gilson, in saying I heartily agree with him on sending the so far fortunate stay-at-homes for occupation duty. And I might say he may well add the conscientious objectors to the list, as there will be no killing from now on (we hope) and their excuse seems a bit weak. By all means let our 18-year-old boys finish their education.

—Mrs. H. C. Gibson,
614 East Union.